

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,456	11/21/2003	John Edward Lecky	107044-0028	2585
24267 CESARLAND	7590 03/12/2007 MCKENNA, LLP		EXAM	INER
88 BLACK FALCON AVENUE BOSTON, MA 02210		TSO, ED\	TSO, EDWARD H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 🖺	DAYS	03/12/2007	PAP	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/719,456	LECKY, JOHN EDWARD	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Edward H. Tso	2838	
The N	MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	lress
Period for Reply		V/10 000 TO TV/DIDE		
WHICHEVEI - Extensions of trafter SIX (6) Mr - If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply recei	IED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Treply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut ved by the Office later than three months after the mailinerm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this cor	
Status				
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 E</u>	December 2006.		
	•	s action is non-final.		
3) Since	this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed	in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	\$
Disposition of (Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11 and 15-20</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.		. ()
4a) Of	the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
	s) is/are allowed.			• ;
	s) is/are rejected.			
· <u> </u>	s) is/are objected to.			. Pas
8) 🔯 Claim(s) <u>11 and 15-20</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirer	nent.	
Application Par	pers			
9) The sp	ecification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)∏ The dra	awing(s) filed on is/are: a) 🗌 acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	nt may not request that any objection to the			to is
	ement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
ii)∟, ine oa	th or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P10	J-152.
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119			
a)∏ All	vledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	Certified copies of the priority documen			
	Certified copies of the priority documen		• •	. .
	Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	n received in this National S	Stage
	application from the International Burea attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received	
000 1110		t or the contined copies no	r received.	
•				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of Refe	erences Cited (PTO-892) tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	* .:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 11, 15-17 and 19 drawn to dynamically controlling the fuel cell system by electrical characteristics, classified in class 320, subclass 116.
- II. Claims 18 and 20 drawn to dynamically controlling the fuel cell system by adding external fuel and/or water, classified in class 320 subclass 101.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as its use exclusively for regular type rechargeable batteries. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to

Art Unit: 2838

provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,456

Art Unit: 2838

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom, can be reached at (571) 272-1989 on Monday-Thursday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist at (571) 272-2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

By:

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner (571) 272-2087 Page 4